

Embodiment 11 of Figures 24 and 25

Embodiment 12 of Figures 26

Embodiment 13 of Figures 27-30

The Examiner has stated that Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The Examiner states that, currently, no claim is generic.

The Examiner has required Applicant to include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Applicant elects Embodiment 13 of Figures 27-30. Applicant submits that claims 1-6, 14-19, 22-24, 26, 28-33, and 36-41 are readable thereon.

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's assertion that "currently, no claim is generic." Applicant respectfully notes that MPEP § 806.04(d), "Definition of a Generic Claim," states as follows: "In general, a generic claim should include no material element additional to those recited in the species claims, and must comprehend within its confines the organization covered in each of the species."

Respectfully submitted,

04 June 2002
Date



Ross D. Snyder, Reg. No. 37,730
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Ross D. Snyder & Associates, Inc.
115 Wild Basin Road, Suite 107
Austin, Texas 78746
(512) 347-9223 (phone)
(512) 347-9224 (fax)